

Using Standard Processes to Minimize the Impact of PRRS Over Time in Breeding Herds

James F. Lowe, DVM, MS

Lowe Consulting Ltd.

Department of Veterinary Clinical Medicine, University of Illinois



The Basics of PRRS Control in My Feeble Mind

- There are only 2 kinds of sow farms – PRRS positive and PRRS Naïve
 - Stable in my world means reproductive stability – nothing about the pigs
 - “Stable farms” have positive pigs on a regular basis we just don’t test enough to know it.
- Positive pigs cost \$\$\$\$\$\$ - like \$6 per head
- Therefore sow farms have to be PRRS naïve to optimize profit.



Holtkamp PRRS Cost Study - 2011

- Stevens Co. MN project financial assessment
- The cost of productivity losses due to PRRS virus breeding herd and growing pigs combined range from \$78 - \$255.
 - No negative pigs when breed herd positive
 - \$255/sow
 - Frequently weans negative pigs – positive/positive stable
 - No break in the breeding last 12 months
 - Pigs placed in finisher negative and stayed negative
 - \$78/sow – best case
- What's it worth to wean negative pigs?
 - \$4.63 – negative at market
 - \$2.13 – if positive at market (breaks in finishing)



The \$2,050,000 Question

- Is TEST NEGATIVE
really NEGATIVE??????



The problem with sampling....

- If you sample 30 weaned pigs once a month in a sow farm with PRRS antibodies for PRRS PCR and they are all negative is the farm always negative?
- In any given month with 30 samples, assuming that the test finds all the positives (100% sensitive), **the true infection rate is between 0 and 1.7% for the WEEK that you sampled.** It says nothing about all the other weeks.



Ah but getting rid of the virus on the sow farm costs more than \$6 a pig so I will be “positive stable” ...

- To paraphrase Suzi Orman.... SHOW ME THE MONEY...
- Large data set – PRRS Infected breeding farms (Positive Stable) – 2 PSY lower than Naïve farms with similar Management
 - \$35 per pig value X 2 PSY reduction in output = \$70 lost value per sow
- “Positive Stable” farms have between 0.5 and 1.5 periods of shedding virus to pigs per year that lasts for 3-6 weeks.
 - 1 event x 4 weeks = 8% of pig flow per year x \$6 per pig = ~\$0.50 per pig cost x 24 PSY = \$12 per sow per year
- **Net Cost is \$82 per sow per year for PRRS on the Positive Stable Farm compared to the Naïve Farm or \$205,000 per year on a 2500 sow farm!!!**



But I get PRRS every year anyway so
why clean up?

- **There is no data to support that prior infection in a sow herd reduces the ECONOMIC impact of a new infection.... The money is in the pigs and the pigs are still a challenge with the new infection.**



So how do I get from here to there?

1. Understand where you are today
 - **AASV Herd Status definitions** – Stage 1 to 4
2. Use **Standard Testing** to define status
3. Utilize **Standard Management Processes** based on herd status to minimize risk.
 - AASV is coordinating project to summarize Scientific data on best management practices for each herd stage.
 - Process to be finalized by November 2011



Why a standard process?

- It is all about repeatability
 - Let the science drive the decisions
- It is all about transparency
 - Make it clear so EVERYBODY knows what is going on
- It is all about accountability
 - Production team has to know what they are accountable for and leadership has to make it happen



Implementation

1. DEFINE the issue to be addressed within the context of the SYSTEM
2. Design a PROCESS by developing a series of TASKS in a logical order
3. TEACH the tasks to the people
4. MONITORING the success and performance of the process
5. CORRECT errors by refining tasks or retraining people



The Four Pillars

Implementation

System
Understanding

Biological
Correctness

Simplicity

Communication



Herd Status – Defining the Issue

Herd PRRS Status ¹	I (positive unstable)	II (positive stable)			III (provisional negative)	IV (negative)
Status Description	1: Unstable / Active Infection	2a: Short Term Stable	2b: Undergoing Elimination	2C: Long Term Stable - no shedding detected for ≥ 6 mon	3: Transitioning to Negative - negative gilt replacements remain seronegative for ≥ 2 mon	4: Negative - no ELISA positive and previously infected sows have been removed



The Plan – Standardized Testing

Herd PRRS Status ¹	I (positive unstable)	II (positive stable)			III (provisional negative)	IV (negative)
Status Description	1: Unstable / Active Infection	2a: Short Term Stable	2b: Undergoing Elimination	2C: Long Term Stable - no shedding detected for ≥ 6 mon	3: Transitioning to Negative - negative gilt replacements remain seronegative for ≥ 2 mon	4: Negative - no ELISA positive and previously infected sows have been removed
Testing to Prove Status ²	Default State with out diagnostics	60 pigs per sampling; 4 consecutive weeks of 4 day old pigs; PRRR PCR Pool 5	60 pigs per sample: 4 weeks of 4 day old pigs and pigs at weaning; PRRS PCR, Pool 5 (total of 8 consecutive weeks of negative testing)	60 pigs at weaning every 4 weeks;; PRRS PCR, Pool 5; 6 months consecutive months	≥ 30 gilts are PCR and ELISA negative at least 1 month post introduction and remain negative; on going monthly testing of 30 weaned pigs; PCR, Pool 5	60 head PCR and ELISA negative over 2 consecutive samplings at least 30 day apart
Earliest to initiate testing to confirm status after herd closure	n/a	≥ 22 weeks post infection (inoculation) and pigs born dead $<12\%$ of TB	After 4 consecutive negative tests of ≥ 60 4 day old pigs	6 months after 1 st neg weaned pig testing.	9 months	



The Plan – Science Based Management

Herd PRRS Status ¹	I (positive unstable)	II (positive stable)			III (provisional negative)	IV (negative)
		Sow Management				
Gilt Introductions	No	No	Yes	Yes – Prev. Infected	Yes – Naïve	Yes – Naïve
Prebreeding vaccines	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prefarrowing vaccines	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Change needles between sows and gilts	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Manure feedback prefarrow	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Manure Feedback prebreeding	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Tissue or serum feedback to gilts	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
Farrowing House Management Practices						
Wash all crates with dry time between litters	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Allow part weaning of rooms	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Change needles and blades between litters	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Use of warming tubs/ split suckle boxes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Use Processing carts	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Piglet Movements						
Movements at less than 24 hours of age only for litter size ³	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Fall back litter (1 nurse sow per 26 crates) ⁴	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pool small pigs in one litter ⁵	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Holding pigs at weaning for quality	No	No	No	No	No	Yes



Clean Up Costs

- The basic “Jim Lowe Plan”
 - Close herd for 30 weeks
 - Place as many gilts **in farm** as possible – up to 20 weeks
 - Do offsite breeding project for last 15 weeks to keep making breed target – but only at normal replacement rate
 - ***IMPLEMENT STANDARD MANGEMENT PRACTICES***
- Costs
 - Breeding project – Rent \$20,000 per 2500 sows, Extra labor \$5,000-10,000 bonus to pay crew to go off site
 - Increased testing - \$5000
 - Total \$30,000-35,000 – or \$13-14 per sow
- Payback time of 10-12 weeks!



Summary for success

- Long term view of business and willing to keep score in long term margin not short term ups and downs
- Understand herd status
- Belief in standard processes and willingness to stick to them long term
- Willingness to challenge teams on behavior and old dogmas– reduce both internal transmission and external introduction risks



Thank you



James F. Lowe, DVM ,MS
lowe@loweconsultingltd.com
Mobile: 309-336-1006

